

**INLAND WETLANDS & WATERCOURSES AGENCY
OF THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF BRISTOL
MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING OF MONDAY NOVEMBER 4, 2024**

By: Chairman Fisk

Time: 6:30 P.M.

Place: City Hall
Council Chambers
111 North Main St.
First Floor

MEMBERS	NAME:	PRESENT	ABSENT
REGULAR MEMBERS	Zachary Fisk (Chairman)	X	
	Michael Robinson (Vice Chairman)	X	
	David Rooks (Secretary)	X	
	James Carros	X	
	Daniel Massaro, Jr.	X	
	James Ritchie		X
	Faye Duquette	X	
ALTERNATE MEMBERS	Greg Klimek	X	
	Laura Valentino	X	
STAFF	Anthony Lorenzetti, Staff Engineer	X	

1. Pledge of Allegiance
2. Introductions and Seating of Alternates

Chairman Fisk designated regular Commissioners Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Ritchie, Rooks and Fisk to vote on the October 7, 2024, regular meeting minutes. He also designated alternate Commissioners Klimek and Valentino to vote alternately tonight starting with Klimek.

3. Public Participation (Non-Pending Applications)

None

4. Call to Order

Per the order of Chairman Fisk, the meeting was called to order at 6:30 P.M.

5. Approval of Minutes

- a. Regular Meeting – October 7, 2024

MOTION: Move to approve the minutes of the October 7, 2024, regular meeting.

By: Klimek

Seconded: Carros

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.
Against: None.
Abstained: None.

6. Public Hearings

- a. Application #2041 – Wetlands application for regrading rear property at 31 Royal Drive; Assessor’s Map 64, Lot 8; Mel Maffei, applicant (Public Hearing continued from October 7, 2024, meeting).

The Commission received the following items in their electronic packets:

- a. Assessor’s Map with Inland Wetlands Watercourse Layers
- b. Application
- c. Plan (Electronic Copy Only) revised date October 2, 2020, Grading Plan
- d. Map CT State Plane NAD 1983 – Arch “D” Sheet
- e. Computations dated September 30, 2020, regarding 7 Royal Dr.
- f. Appendix A Design Chart for Open Channel Flow, 402
- g. From Channel Chart, dated September 30, 2020, regarding 7 Royal Dr.
- h. Open Channel Flow Calculator, dated September 30, 2020
- i. Time of Concentration Calculations for assessment of Ditch, dated September 29, 2020
- j. Hydrographs 2-yr. Summary, dated October 2, 2020
- k. Hydrograph Report, dated October 2, 2020, Area #1, Hyd. No. 1
- l. Hydrograph 25-Yr. Summary, dated October 2, 2020
- m. Hydrograph Report, dated October 2, 2020, Area #1, Hyd. No. 1
- n. Hydrograph 100-yr. Summary, dated October 2, 2020
- o. Hydrograph Report, Area #1, Hyd. No 1, dated October 2, 2020
- p. Memorandum dated October 7, 2020, regarding Grading Plan, 7 Royal Dr.
- q. Summary and Engineering Evaluation for 31 Royal Dr., dated October 7, 2020

The Commission received the following items in their electronic packets **PREVIOUSLY**:

- a. Assessor’s Map with Inland Wetlands Watercourse Layers
- b. Application
- c. Map Lot 8, 31 Royal Dr., Maffei property
- d. Map of areas to be filled
- e. Letter dated April 9, 2020, regarding Wetlands permit for regulated activities a 7 Royal Drive
- f. E-Mail dated April 21, 2020, regarding drainage sketch, from Carol Noble, former Environmental Engineer, City of Bristol
- g. Map, Proposal for drainage plan, dated Nov. 23, 2019, regarding 2020 Plan from Bristol Town Engineer to connect to new storm drain in cul-de-sac
- h. Letter dated October 7, 2020, from Nancy Levesque, P.E., former City Engineer, to Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Commission, regarding grading plan for 7 Royal Dr.
- i. Three photographs, dated 092024; 092024; and 11272020, respectively.
- j. Map of Royal Dr., Lot 8

MOTION: Move to continue the public hearing for Application #2041

By: Klimek

Seconded: Rooks

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Dr., submitted a letter from the property owner of lot# 7.

Chairman Fisk, read the letter into record.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Dr., is asking where the application is at, as this is the second hearing regarding this application. He stated his concerns about his property being labeled a significant activity. He read section two of the wetland regulations. He referenced a Bristol engineering report of 2020, based on the recommendations given, there wouldn’t be any significant activity. By following the engineer recommended action of pushing the water back there wouldn’t be a significant activity and would have a natural water flow. He said the swale would prevent there being any serious interruption. He spoke about the concern regarding discharging the water to properties downslope. He referenced the report and the plan is following the recommendation from Nancy Levesque and Carol Noble, previous city engineers. He showed an enlarged printout of a

check that he paid to have his pools fixed from water pooling there. He stated water is not moving properly on the three lots. He quoted a memo sent to the mayor regarding the role of the commission and stated that the commission is here to protect. He asked where is his protection, this is the second time coming here. He said he spoke to Andrew Armstrong about the availability of the video of the meetings, and has received nothing. He stated another point is the intentional blocking of water. The State of Connecticut has a rule reasonable use, which he read into record. Myself and Alan have spent a few thousand bucks coping with this problem. When does a decision come about for the permit, as it's costing me \$80 a month for the sign? I am saying to you I am in opposition to the term significant activity, because if I follow the plan developed by the City of Bristol, none of those criteria would be in existence. I think this is holding up the works.

Anthony Lorenzetti, read into record what is considered a significant act.

Commissioner Fisk, stated the first couple of point apply, and we are already in a second hearing after if was decided a significant activity so at this point, I would like to move forward.

Commissioner Rooks, explained last month the issue as that w a neighbor came to us about discharging water into his swale and I questioned wasn't that swale made for that and he said no. He asked us to go back and look at minutes and that is what we are doing. Because it's a public hearing so he still has a chance to come and talk and then we will make the decision if we are able to.

Chairman Fisk, said the information was provided for us.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, said would it be reasonable that the people who crafted that paid attention to the fact that water is going to move thru the area and would be safe to assume that it would not be harmful to lot # 6 if it is done properly.

Jason Sirko, 175 Lake Avenue, Touch of Pride Landscaping, asked if the commission revisited the site, because there is a huge tree in front of the swale.

Commissioner Fisk, said we will listen to the issues and address that.

Commissioner Klimek, asked why the applicant did not get an engineer study.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, responded he didn't feel he needed on as the city engineers did the study and it is in the packet.

Commissioner Klimek, said the report was created by city engineers?

Commissioner Rooks, said, no, it was created by Robert Greene Associates for lot # 6 Royal Drive's engineer which took into account for all the upland properties to go into.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, stated that on October 2020, Carol Noble sent information to Alan, the plan that was attached. It is not a bogus paper; it was a plan from that meeting. He showed the plan and email of the approval. In section 14, you do have some enforcement powers, but don't know how often or necessary it is to engage with those. If something is proposed, institutes and doesn't work right, you have the power to modify it.

Commission Fisk, stated the swale was not designed the way it should have been and is tying into a swale that can be done

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, read into record the engineer report from staff and reviewed the history which is in the documents.

Mike Drury, 6 Royal Drive, stated he is speaking for his mom who is on line and the owner of lot # 9 and that they have two concerns, will the berm/swale change the water flow and does it require any maintenance.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, stated the owner of lot # 9 died and it was left unattended which was the problem. The sump pump is working there and no issues.

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, said that the water should flow away, topsoil and seeding is needed to stabilize and to be aware of erosion control

Danielle, 45 Royal Drive, stated they have not had water in the basement and wants to be sure that no water will be coming to the basement. Will these changes cause more water and how will it improve?

Commissioner Robinson, said the swales moves water so it doesn't sit and gives it a channel to move

Commissioner Klimek, stated that if done properly and stabilize it will help immensely

Danielle, 45 Royal Drive, asked if it will increase the chances of flooding.

Commissioner Fisk, asked is it ground water or is it coming from the golf course or maybe its coming from the golf course should be fixing what they did.

Commissioner Klimek, asked if it the resident noticed a change

Danielle, 45 Royal Drive, said no, there is always water so the concern is it doesn't cause additional issues.

Commissioner Fisk, asked if the swale could add to that

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, reviewed the maps and the plans

Casey Tobacco, 7 Royal Drive, submitted to record the paperwork and engineer drawings to the commission.

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, asked the applicant, how many feet are you proposing

Jason Sirko, 175 Lake Avenue, Touch of Pride Landscaping, answered, 120 Feet swale, if you don't want a swale, we can pitch it and push into the woods.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, we are just trying to keep the water back and the golf course is ok with that.

Commissioner Rooks, asked, are you saying that what the house down the road is not working

Jason Sirko, 175 Lake Avenue, stated there is a huge tree sitting there so I don't see it going thru there much.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, stated I don't feel that what we are doing is discharging any, the watercourse will flow naturally and we are trying to encourage it to go back farther.

Commissioner Klimek, asked if the Maple Tree is sitting in the way of the swale

Commissioner Rooks, asked if this is the tree that was supposed to be removed.

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, stated the concern about lot # 9, this will not be affected by what we are doing

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, asked if the swale is necessary

Mel Maffei, 31 Royal Drive, said it would be more efficient, but if no swale is allowed, we will build up, push the water back and fill the areas.

Jason Sirko, 175 Lake Ave, the swale would be better, if not possible we will do it a different way

Commissioner Robinson, stated in 2020 there were two proposals. He referenced a plan by Carol Noble and the engineering report and reviewed the plan and the golf course plans as well. The purpose of the swale is to put a channel in that is adequate in width and depth to naturally flow. In 2021/2022 the golf course cleared the area so the sheet flow works as designed. If your swale was designed correctly, it would work and flow uninterrupted without affecting anyone and have the water get to the reservoir where it belongs.

Casey Tobacco, 7 Royal Drive, said he understands that, but putting the swale changes the course of the water from sheet flow to a steady flow, the amount of water that will rush down will end up in my backyard, so when my swale washes out, who is going to fix it. My swale was designed for sheet flow.

Commissioner Rooks read the plan submitted by Casey Tobacco from the engineer from Robert Greene reports explaining the design and measurements of the swale. He asked Mr. Tobacco if the swale was done correctly.

Casey Tobacco, 7 Royal Drive, stated yes it was.

Commissioner Rooks and Casey Tobacco had an interaction regarding the swale development.

Casey Tobacco, stated Nancy Levesque and Jason Gagnon both came out and inspected it and said it was good to go and submitted the paper work to the commission.

Commissioner Klimek, stated that the swale ends up as a catch all. It will take the water and move it along in a steady pattern. We don't want to see you get more water either, but if a swale is designed right, it will stop the sheet flow and fan out. Stabilization is very important. Nobody is trying to give you a hard time.

Casey Tobacco and Commissioner Rooks had a second interaction.

Commissioner Fisk, asked, why can't it accommodate the water? It should be six properties based on the engineering report.

Casey Tobacco, 7 Royal Drive, asked can you tell me how much water will be in that swale.

Chairman Fisk stated it is for five properties.

Commissioner Rook, stated that you gave us the engineer report showing the design

Commissioner Massaro, said its designed to improve the convenience of water on the property. In several places it was designed to accommodate all the upslope properties in the area. It is not designed to create a river on your property. He reviewed the applications plan regarding how the water will flow. Is your contention they should not drain there property too.

Casey Tobacco, 7 Royal Drive, stated, the applicant should have to get an engineer as that's what he was told to do.

Commissioner Massaro, it was designed by city engineers and Robert Towne Engineers

Bob Tobacco, Tobacco Construction, stated, it was built exactly as it was supposed to be, it was inspected by Nancy, signed off by Jason Gagnon, so Mr. Brooks would you like to call me a liar. He reviewed the design again that was submitted. I am asking how much water is going into the swale. This is sheet flow.

Commissioner Fisk, said the swale is 120 feet. It's accommodating all water coming to the area. Is there additional water coming in?

Bob Tobacco, Tobacco Construction, said yes, it was put into a designated area, a trench.

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, the amount of water is the same, it will get there quicker because of efficiency. Which is why the backyard of Mr. Maffei should be grass.

Bob Tobacco, Tobacco Construction, asked said an engineer was asked for at the last meeting so we would know how it would be designed. The board should want an engineer so it's done correct. Mr. Rooks asked if Casey was done right, it was signed off by the city and inspected by the city.

Commissioner Klimek, asked Mr. Lorenzetti, will it will be the same amount of water it will get there quicker, also this is not an impervious surface, it's a grass area and will act somewhat as an absorber. This will slow it and absorb as it will come down.

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, said he will not design it. A swale can be different measurements. An engineer should be designing a swale.

Bob Tobacco, stated lot# 7, is the landscapers aunt and was filled in and water pushed to the back. Was there a plot plan or permit for this?

Commissioner Rooks, answered yes.

Bob Tobacco, Tobacco Construction, stated week you asked for a letter from lot #7 so Lot #8 could put their water there. # 7 was allowed to do what he wanted a letter.

Chairman Fisk, stated, that would be a question for Ray

Bob Tobacco, stated last month, you said Casey didn't get the letter. Is that the way you operate on the city board, because if that's what you do and call people up hear liars, you should step off.

Commissioner Fisk, stated to make his claim to the mayor.

Bob Tobacco, said he did already. The plan was approved and done? Then the printout is no longer accurate due to the other yards work that was done. I think it should be an engineer, and if approved and washed out, who is going to take care of fixing it.

There was a brief discussion about a pipe.

Chairman Fisk, stated the questions are in the minutes.

Alan Cahill, Royal Drive, stated this has been going on for 5 years. When the illegal activity happened on lot # 7, they granted me the ability to fill my property. The idea was to push the water back to get to the swale. It wasn't complicated and didn't need an engineer because there were a lot of things done illegally done on the other property. We were the victims from the illegal activity. He reviewed his plan to fix his property. The issue is we just want to resolve this and move on with our lives. We ask this board to help us out. All we need to do is push the water back otherwise the water will just sit there. We are playing semantics. Nancy was very specific and now she is gone and all those letters were forgotten about. They are still there; we are just trying to move on with our lives. Water sits and sinks and cracks foundations. We are not looking for perfection, we just want the water out. The answer to get an engineer is unacceptable, he built the swale correctly. I look at it all the time. I am suggesting the board to look at the situation, work with the guys. The excess water needs to be pushed back. Nancy and Carol were both on board with the situation. The engineers never said we all need swales, we just had to push the water back.

Bob Tobacco, Tobacco Construction, asked if there were plans submitted

The Commission said yes.

Bob Tobacco, stated this is why you hire engineers so that it is done like the correctly and it finished.

Casey Tobacco, showed the commission pictures of the water behind his shed.

MOTION: to close the public hearing for application #2041

By: Klimek

Seconded: Rooks

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

The public hearing is closed.

Chairman Fisk, asked Anthony Lorenzetti is in his opinion would it be sufficient to push the water back

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, stated his thought was if the area is grassed and its not a real defined swale and the water is running across the yards thew at it was, it should have similar flow patterns. All the yards have parts in the yards that are sinking. It would be reasonable to fill in some of these holes so there is not standing water sitting there and push the water back. It would have to match the existing drainage patterns.

Commissioner Klimek asked Mr. Lorenzetti is the city has done a drainage work at all up there.

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, stated there is existing road drainage downgrading behind Tobacco's swale. I don't know the history; it seems like an existing system.

MOTION: Move to approve Application #2041 – Wetlands application for regrading rear property at 31 Royal Drive; Assessor’s Map 64, Lot 8; Mel Maffei, applicant, in accordance with the plot plan and information submitted with standard stipulations and be closely monitored by the Inland/Wetlands Officer.

By: Klimek

Seconded: Robinson

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

The application is approved.

- b. Application #2043 – Wetlands application for excavation and installation of 5’ wide ADA compliant concrete sidewalks including ADA compliance for planned exercise circuits at Rockwell Park; Assessor’s Map 11, Lot 208; City of Bristol, Parks Department, applicant.
 - a. Assessor’s Map with Inland Wetlands Watercourse Layers
 - b. Application
 - c. Site Plan Map from City of Bristol, Department of Public Works, Rockwell Park Facility Improvements, Construction of ADA Compliant Sidewalks, dated September 27, 2024

MOTION: Move to open a public hearing for Application #2043.

By: Robinson

Seconded: Carros

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Valentino and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

The public hearing is open.

William Stango of Bristol City Hall, 111 North Main St., representing the applicant, reviewed the application and presented a power point of the project of installing an ADA compliant sidewalk in an area at Rockwell Park.

Commissioner Massaro asked if there will be ADA sidewalks from the parking area to access this.

William Stango, of Bristol City Hall, stated that it will connect to the gravel path that goes around.

Commissioner Massaro stated that does the gravel meet ADA compliant

William Stango, Bristol City Hall, said that is not in the scope of this project. This is a parks project may have future plans to extend it.

Commissioner Robinson stated, we are putting in a sidewalk that doesn’t have ADA compliant access to.

William Stango, Bristol City Hall, said yes, I wouldn’t say we don’t have AADA compliance but that wasn’t something that was verified.

The commission had a discussion regarding the sidewalk and how it is not accessible and will there a plan to add to it later.

Commissioner Robinson, stated his opinion, that the grant will be gone by December and it sounds like a good plan, but it is a pipe dream.

Chairman Fisk asked for a description of the sidewalk.

William Stango, City of Bristol, gave the specifics of the design and measurement.

Commission Robinson asked if the existing athletic items can be accessed by a wheelchair.

William Stango, City of Bristol, answered, that was not something looked into. The 100 -foot upland review area is shown where relative to the project. It was mapped by the city surveyor. The disturbance area is on the application and is 0.132 acres of impact in the upland review area. We are proposing sedimentation and erosion control fencing.

Anthony Lorenzetti, City Staff, stated that this area is used for the Rockwell Park Festivals and the whole area is attended by people, vendors and vehicles in the area. This would have a benefit for people to go around the whole site versus being in the grass.

Commissioner Robinson, stated he does not concur, I don't see how the sidewalk for someone confined to a wheelchair or walker would get to it. Engineering wishes its perfect but from a practical standpoint how will you use it.

Commissioner Carros, stated he understands the concerns, but aren't we concerned about the wetlands impact and not the ADA compliance.

Commissioner Robinson, stated, like I said from engineering its perfection, but to me, your putting in a cement area for people with disabilities that I am all for, but it's not usable.

Commissioner Klimek, stated he agrees with Commissioner Robinson, and I know your trying to use up the rest of the AARPA money, but having sat on the handicap commissioner for 7 years, but a certain part is usable but the other part is not.

Commissioner Carros, stated that is not what our responsibility is.

Chairman Fisk, stated is it worth the cost of the impact to the wetlands for something that cannot be used, but that is not our purview.

William Stango, City of Bristol, responded, that it is intended to be ADA compliance, any one who wants to use the athletic equipment, it provides access and conductivity between them and is intended to be ADA compliant for future expansion. I respectfully ask you to consider the wetlands and the measure we have taken to protect them.

Commissioner Robinson, stated the way it is set up now, anyone that is not handicap can get to the exercise stations. You're impacting the wetlands with something that cannot realistically be used today. If at some point in time later on you come up with enough money to do everything at once, but from common sense side, you are impacting the wetlands for something that cannot be used.

William Stango, City of Bristol, said anyone can use the sidewalk to access, it is not just ADA compliant and is the position of the parks to put the sidewalk in for connectivity to the athletic stations. My job is to show the minimal impact to the wetlands and the measures we have taken to protect them.

Commissioner Fisk, stated they still have to go thru other approval meetings and that we as a commission have to rule on the wetlands, we as a citizen should be voicing our opinions at those meetings.

Chairman Fisk asked if there are other applications coming in the near future.

William Stango, City of Bristol, said he did not know.

Chairman Fisk, stated that this has been expressed before, but someone from the parks board should be present with engineering. A lot of these questions can be addressed to them.

Commissioner Duquette, asked, if there is minimal impact to the wetlands

William Stango, City of Bristol, said yes by minimizing fill to the wetland and to the upland review area. He reviewed the slides showing this plan

Stan Livenque, 39 Landry Street, stated he walks at the park and will it change the water and flood the pond. He showed the commission a picture of some flooding on the gravel path that he was concerned would be worse when this work was done. He mentioned a tree trunk or branch blocking the water flow.

William Stango, City of Bristol, said he could not speak to the historical floods or impact to the wetlands. Silt fencing will protect it the best. He will check the blockage and also let parks department know about the log mentioned.

Commissioner Duquette, asked if the grant money is not used, does it go away

Commissioner Klimek, stated it is AARPA funds and if it's not used it goes away in December.

MOTION: to close the public hearing for Application # 2043

By: Rooks

Seconded: Carros

For: Duquette, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Valentino and Fisk.
Against: Robinson
Abstained: None.

The public hearing is closed.

MOTION: Move to approve Application #2043 – Wetlands application for regrading rear property at 31 Royal Drive; Assessor's Map 64, Lot 8; Mel Maffei, applicant, in accordance with the plot plan and information submitted with standard stipulations.

By: Massaro

Seconded: Duquette

For: Duquette, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Valentino and Fisk.
Against: Robinson
Abstained: None.

The application is approved.

7. New Applications

- a. Application #2044 – Wetlands application for the Cambridge Park rehabilitation project consisting of full depth replacement of roadway and existing parking spaces, reconstruction of roadway and new parking spaces including sidewalks with handicap accessibility, rehabilitation of buildings and demolition of 8 residential buildings at 98 Jerome Avenue; Assessor's Map 53, Lot 129; The Housing Authority of the City of Bristol, applicant.

The Commission received the following items in their electronic packets:

- a. Assessor's Map with Inland Wetlands Watercourse Layers
- b. Application
- c. Letter of Transmittal dated October 17, 2024, from Design Professionals
- d. Plan (Electronic Copy Only)

MOTION: Move to receive Application #2044.

By: Klimek

Seconded: Robinson

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.
Against: None.
Abstained: None.

The application is received.

Attorney Sal Vitrano, 135 West Street, spoke regarding the application, he expects his will be deemed a significant activity and if a public hearing should be scheduled as soon as possible as well as a site visit.

Commissioner Klimek asked if there is an engineer on board with this.

Anthony Lorenzetti, stated it is in wetlands and within the upland review area.

Attorney Sal Vitrano, 135 West Street, stated they are removing eight buildings, it is anticipated to only take one phase to complete.

Commissioner Fisk asked if there was a soil scientist report

Commissioner Massaro asked if the work being done is already disturbed

Attorney Sal Vitrano, 135 West Street, stated there was no soil scientist report, and yes, it is in wetlands, but the sidewalks and pavement are already there they are just being reconstructed. There is no increase off runoff.

MOTION: Move to declare Application # 2044 a significant activity and set a public hearing and an onsite inspection.

By: Robinson

Seconded: Klimek

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

The application was declared a significant activity.

MOTION: to schedule an onsite inspection for November 23, 2024 at 8:00 a.m.

By: Rooks

Seconded: Klimek

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

8. Old Business

Anthony Lorenzetti, stated he spoke with the engineer of 42 Paul Street has no date for the engineer to come out

9. New Business

None

10. Staff Reports

a. IWWA Report – October 2024

The Commission received the following items in their electronic packets:

The Inland Wetlands Environmental Protection Technician, Monthly Report, dated October 28, 2024, from Zachary Norton.

MOTION Move to file the report from the Inland Wetlands Zoning Enforcement Officer.

By: Massaro

Seconded: Klimek

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

The report is filed.

b. Information Update – New Britain Water Project

The Commission received the following items in their electronic packets: No items received.

Anthony Lorenzetti, distributed a hand out to the commissioner a packet submitted to the commission of the updated information.

Sebastian Amenta, One Hartford Square, speaking for the applicant, reviewed the access from Mix Street north of Harbor Freight. It was determined thru zoning that a utility pole is in the way so the access point had to be adjusted. Its thirteen feet more north but there are no wetlands there.

Chairman Fisk, asked if there was a change to the impervious surface

Sebastian Amenta, stated, it is not adding to the impervious surface. The landscape will be the same. It will be monitored for five years and the army corps will monitor for seven years and then annual review.

MOTION Move to approve the change from the New Britain Water Project.

By: Massaro

Seconded: Rooks

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

c. IWWA Regulations Workshop

The Commission received the following items in their electronic packets: No items received.

Chairman Fisk proposed that the commission move forward with the workshop to get ready and talk about the regulations.

MOTION: Move to schedule a IWWA Regulations Workshop meeting on November 14, 2024 at 5:30 p.m.

By: Klimek

Seconded: Robinson

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

The report is filed.

11. Adjournment

MOTION: Move to adjourn at 9:10 P.M.

By: Massaro

Seconded: Robinson

For: Duquette, Robinson, Carros, Massaro, Rooks, Klimek and Fisk.

Against: None.

Abstained: None.

This meeting was recorded.

Zachary Fisk, Chairman
Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Agency
of the Conservation Commission of the City of Bristol

David Rooks, Secretary